PTO/SB/21 (04-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

TRANSMITTAL FORM		Application Number 09/587,095		95		
		Filing Date	June 2, 2000			
		First Named Inventor	Got, Pierre			
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)			Art Unit	2683		
			Examiner Name	Miller, Br	andon J.	
Total Number of Page	s in This Submissio	n	Attorney Docket Number	3165A-0	3165A-000007	
		ENCLO	SURES (check all that apply)			
Fee Transmittal Form		☐ Drawing(s)		After Allowance Communication to Technology Center (TC)		
Fee Attached		Licensing-related Papers			al Communication to Board on als and Interferences	
Amendment / Reply		Petition			al Communication to TC al Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)	
After Final		Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application		Propri	etary Information	
Affidavits/declaration(s)		Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address		☐ Status	Letter	
Extension of Time Request		Terminal Disclaimer			Enclosure(s) e identify below):	
Express Abandonment Request  Information Disclosure Statement		Request for Refund  CD, Number of CD(s)		du St Al	sue Fee Transmittal (in plicate); Comments on atement on Reasons for lowance; and Return steard.	
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)  Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application		Remarks  The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees that may be required under 37 CFR 1.16 or 1.17 to Deposi Account No. 08-0750. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclose				
Response to Parts under 3 1.52 or 1.53	Missing					
	SIGNA	TURE OF A	PPLICANT, ATTORNEY, C			
Firm or Individual name Harness, Dickey & Pierce, P.L		Pierce, P.L.C	Attorney Name C. Michael D. Wiggins		eg. No. 4,754	
Signature	Mulil	DVim	~			
Date	March 11, 2005	Ü				
		EDTICIOAT	E OF TRANSMISSION/MAI			

Shalls This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Typed or printed name

Signature

Stephanie Stevens

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

Express Mail

EV 570 162 750 US (3/11/2005)

March 11, 2005





## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.:

09/587,095

Filing Date:

June 2, 2000

Applicant:

Got et al.

Group Art Unit:

2683

Examiner:

Brandon J. Miller

Title:

BATTERY RECONNECT SYSTEM FOR A

TELECOMMUNICATIONS POWER SYSTEM

**Attorney Docket:** 

3165A-000007

Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## **COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

Reasons for allowance are only warranted in instances in which "the record of the prosecution as a whole does not make clear the Examiner's reasons for allowing a claim or claims." 37 C.F.R. 1.104(e). In the present case, Applicant believes the record as a whole makes clear the reasons for allowance and therefore no statement by the Examiner is necessary or warranted, especially since the statement may unfairly focus on certain reasons for allowance which are not reflected by the prosecution history. Therefore, the record should reflect that Applicant does not necessary agree with each statement in the reasons for allowance. For example, while Applicant believes in the claims are allowable, Applicant may not unequivocally agree that patentability

resides solely in the specific feature or combination of features identified, or that each feature or combination of features identified is required for patentability, or that equivalents of any of the recited features are outside the scope of the claims. Moreover, to the extent the reasons for allowance do not separately address the subject matter of all the claims, Applicant does not acquiesce to any inference that the non-addressed claims fail to present other reasons for patentability apart from the patentability of the claims which were specifically addressed by the Examiner.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 3/11/05

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. Box 828 Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303 (248) 641-1600